

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3  
4 TESSERA, INC., No. C 05-4063 CW  
5 Plaintiff, ORDER REGARDING  
6 v. COURT EXPERT  
7 ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a  
8 Delaware corporation; SPANSION,  
9 LLC, a Delaware limited liability  
corporation; SPANSION, INC., a  
Delaware corporation; SPANSION  
TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; ADVANCED  
SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC.,  
a Republic of China corporation;  
ASE (U.S.), INC., a California  
corporation; CHIPMOS  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Republic of  
China corporation; CHIPMOS  
U.S.A., INC., a California  
corporation; SILICONWARE  
PRECISION INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., a  
Republic of China corporation;  
SILICONWARE USA, INC., a  
California corporation;  
STMICROELECTRONICS N.V., a  
Netherlands corporation;  
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC., a  
Delaware corporation; STATS  
CHIPPAC, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; STATS CHIPPAC (BVI),  
INC., a British Virgin Islands  
company; STATS CHIPPAC, LTD., a  
Singapore company,  
Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
22 /  
23 SILICONWARE PRECISION INDUSTRIES No. C 08-3667 CW  
CO, LTD; SILICONWARE U.S.A.,  
INC.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
26  
27 TESSERA, INC.,  
Defendant.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
28 /

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; No. C 08-3827 CW  
2 CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.; CHIPMOS  
TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA), LTD.,

3 Plaintiffs,

4 v.

5 TESSERA, INC.,

6 Defendant.

7 \_\_\_\_\_/  
8 ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR No. C 08-3726 CW  
9 ENGINEERING, INC.; ASE TEST  
LIMITED; ASE (U.S.), INC.,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 TESSERA, INC.,

13 Defendant.

14 SPANSION, INC., et al., No. C 10-4954 CW

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 TESSERA, INC.,

18 Claimant.

19 \_\_\_\_\_/  
POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC., No. C 10-945 CW

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 TESSERA, INC.,

23 Defendant.

24 \_\_\_\_\_/  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.,

No. C 11-6121 CW

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 TESSERA, INC.,

5 Defendant.

6 \_\_\_\_\_ /

7 TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 12-692 CW

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 QUALCOMM, INC.; FREESCALE  
11 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.; ATI  
TECHNOLOGIES, ULC,

12 Defendants.

13 \_\_\_\_\_ /

14 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

/

15 The Court notes that one name was inadvertently omitted from  
16 the list of parties and attorneys that was attached to the Court's  
17 April 9, 2012 Order Concerning Duties and Instructions for the  
18 Court-Appointed Expert, that of party Powertech Technology, Inc.  
19 Thus, the Court asks Dr. Dauskardt to confirm by signing and  
20 returning the attached form that he has no conflict of interest,  
21 with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc., that has not already  
22 been disclosed to the parties.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

25 Dated: 5/16/2012

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

26

27

28

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I affirm that I have disclosed truthfully to the parties all potential conflicts of interest that I may have to the best of my knowledge, with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

DR. REINHOLD DAUSKARDT

# **United States District Court**

For the Northern District of California